

Running head: EXPLORATION OF STUDENTS' WRITING PROBLEMS

Observing Writing Classes to Explore Students' Writing Problems: A Secondary Level

Scenario of EFL Class

Nabeela Sanjana Chowdhury

ID: 12103020

Department of English and Humanities

December 2015



BRAC University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

**Observing Writing Classes to Explore Students' Writing Problems: A Secondary Level
Scenario of EFL Class**

**A thesis submitted to the
Department of English and Humanities
of
BRAC University
by**

Nabeela Sanjana Chowdhury

ID: 12103020

**In partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree
of
Bachelor of Arts in English
December 2015**

Declaration

I declare that the work presented in this research paper is my original work and it reflects the best knowledge of mine. Wherever I have used other's work and contribution I have acknowledged their work. I have not submitted this work previously or currently in any institution.

Nabeela Sanjana Chowdhury

December 2015

Acknowledgement

My first and foremost thanks go to the Almighty for giving me the strength to complete this research paper. I give my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Asifa Sultana for her precious comments, observations and guidance. Without her guidance it would not be possible for me to complete this research. I would also like to thank all the faculty members of ENH department for inspiring me. Lastly, I want to thank my family members especially my father and my husband and also my friends who supported me throughout this journey.

Abstract

This research paper attempts to find out students' writing problems at secondary level. Students of this level face many problems but grammatical errors are the biggest problem for most of the students. Apart from grammatical problems, problems with information organization, translation problems, spelling mistakes and using inappropriate vocabularies are common problems among the students. For data collection and data analysis the researcher observed three different classes of one school, took 30 students' interview and assessed 30 scripts. After the data collection and data analysis the researcher found that students face writing problems not only due to inabilities but also for the drawback in the education system. The paper concludes by stating some limitations of the study and scopes for the future investigation.

Table of Contents

Declaration.....	iii
Acknowledgement.....	iv
Abstract	v
Chapter One: Introduction	1
Chapter Two: Literature Review	2
2.1. Developing Writing Skill	2
2.2. Approaches of Writing Skill	3
2.2.1. Product Based Approach	3
2.2.2. Process Based Approach	4
2.3. Methods applied in Writing Classes.....	5
2.3.1. Grammar Translation Method	5
2.3.2. The Audio- Lingual Method.....	5
2.4. Writing Problems	6
2.5. Creative Writing	10
2.6. Feedback on Writing Task	11
Chapter Three: Research Methodology	13
3.1. Background Information	13
3.2. Institution and Settings.....	13
3.3. Participants	13
3.4. Research Tools	14
3.4.1. Class Observation	14
3.4.2. Students' Interview.....	15
3.4.3. Assessment of Students' Writing	15
3.5. Nature of Research	15
3.5.1. Qualitative Research.....	15
3.5.2. Quantitative Research.....	16
3.6. Obstacles	16

Chapter Four: Research Findings.....	17
4.1. Class- 1	17
4.1.1. Findings from Class Observation	17
4.1.2. Findings from Students' Interview	18
4.1.2.1. Open-ended Question and Answer	18
4.1.2.2. Multiple-choice Question, Answer and Percentage	20
4.1.3. Findings from Students' Script Assessment.....	21
4.2. Class- 2	22
4.2.1. Findings from Class Observation	22
4.2.2. Findings from Students' Interview	23
4.2.2.1. Open-ended Question and Answer	24
4.2.2.2. Multiple-choice Question, Answer and Percentage	26
4.2.3. Findings from Students' Script Assessment.....	27
4.3. Class- 3.....	28
4.3.1. Findings from Class Observation	28
4.3.2. Findings from Students' Interview	29
4.3.2.1. Open-ended Question and Answer	29
4.3.2.2. Multiple-choice Question, Answer and Percentage.....	32
4.3.3. Findings from Students' Script Assessment.....	33
Chapter Five: Analysis.....	35
5.1. Class Obsevation	35
5.2. Interview.....	40
5.3. Script Assessment	42
Chapter Six: Conclusion	45
References.....	47
Appendix.....	50
Part 1	50
Part 2	51

Chapter One

Introduction

Writing is one of the most popular ways of expressing emotions and thoughts. Through writing people can take time and can state their emotions clearly. Moreover, writing tasks are applied broadly during language practice for learning foreign languages. Yet, students of all levels face many problems while writing any task. Though writing is one of the best ways of expressing thoughts, students often cannot make sense or convey the meaning to the reader through their writing tasks. Tirumalesh (1991) suggested some reasons why people have limited knowledge about English writing. Lack of proficiency in the language, large classes, unqualified teachers, unmotivated novices, lack of resources became the part of the etiology. From his point of view, the problem can be solved by mobilizing more materials or by an appropriate use of the existing resources (Tirumalesh, 1991, pp. 2670-2671). Moreover, at secondary level, students of Bengali medium school believe that grammatical errors hinder their writing performance more than any other problems in their second language classes. However there are many other factors which are responsible for creating writing problems in second language classes. The purpose of this study is to explore Bengali medium students' writing problems through class observation, interview and script checking of the students. Using three different formats such as, class observation, students' interview and scripts assessment the researcher tried to discover the answers of the research questions below.

1. Through which productive skill students feel more comfortable to express their thoughts?
2. Which is the biggest problem they face in writing?
3. Do they consider target language as a major issue while writing?

Chapter Two

Literature Review

2.1. Developing Writing Skill:

Coe and Gutierrez (1981) identified some principles for the learners to find out their writing problems, to set their goals and to evaluate their progress.

- 1) Changing the process is the best way to improve the quality of written product.
- 2) In case of developing writing skill, active learning is more useful than passive learning and goals which are set by the students are more prone to be achieved than the goals required by the teachers.
- 3) Students can learn significantly from fellow students.
- 4) Identifying the exact problem can lead to solve the problem successfully.
- 5) Stating goal accurately, applying the best strategy, maintaining a perfect time frame and the ability of verifying the procedure will ensure to achieve the goal (Coe and Gutierrez, 1981, pp. 262-263).

Widodo (2006) stated that a genre based approach to teaching L2 writing focuses on the gatherings of an individual text type and try to help students to understand what is the purpose of writing text, who is their audience or for whom they are writing and how to organize a text (as cited in Chen and Su, 2011, p. 185).

Manchón (2011) believed that writing is used widely in language practice for learning foreign language. For this reason scholars made a difference between writing to learn content

and writing to learn language. Moreover, it is clear that all types of writing in foreign language education contribute to the progress of language skills. Both learning-to-write and writing-to-learn tasks help to develop the learners' foreign language skill (as cited in Lund, 2015, p. 2).

Ur (1996) identified that the purpose and principle of writing skill is to express ideas and convey the message to the reader. Moreover, the writers should be aware of some formal aspects such as, neat hand writing, correct spelling, proper use of punctuation and vocabulary and acceptable grammar. These aspects are needed because in higher standards of language are usually demanded in writing than in speech. He also mentioned that more careful construction, more precise and varied vocabulary, more correctness of expression is also the important aspects of writing. When a student submits a piece of original writing then the most important thing is to see whether the ideas and events presented there is interesting and significant or not. In addition, the writer should organize his thoughts and ideas and present them through his writing in a way so that the readers can read that easily and feel pleased to read that. However, one of the problems in teaching writing is maintaining a fair balance between content and forms (Ur, 1996, pp. 163, 170).

2.2. Approaches of Writing Skill:

2.2.1. Product Based Approach

Pincas (1892) stated that in product approach there are four stages to learn writing and they are familiarization, controlled writing, guided writing and free writing. The goal of the familiarization stage is to make learners attentive of specific features of any particular text. In the controlled and guided stage the learners keep practicing their writing in a controlled way and eventually increase their freedom of writing until they are able to write authentic activity freely

like letter or story or essay. The product approach largely focuses on the knowledge of the learners regarding the structure of the language. This approach basically see the development of writing in terms of imitating the input that students get from their teacher in the form of text (as cited in Badger and White, 2000, p.p. 153-54).

Isaacson (1989) describes that there are two roles involved in writing, author and secretary. When students are playing the author's role, then they have to be concerned about inventing ideas, arranging thoughts, and organizing selected words and phrases suitably or efficiently. When the students are in the secretary role, then they are more concerned with the technicalities of writing like exercising correct spelling, capitalization, and punctuation. The writing process approach recognizes the importance of both the author and secretary roles (as cited in Alber-Morgan et al. 2007, p. 109).

2.2.2. Process Based Approach

Hedge (1988) and White and Arndt (1991) suggested that the process approach is mostly about linguistic skills like planning and drafting on the other hand this approach is less concern about grammatical rules and structure. They also mentioned four stages of process approach like prewriting, composing/drafting, revising and editing. In prewriting stage learners will think about the topic based on something which is given to them. In composing/ drafting stage learners will organize their thoughts to make a plan for describing their topic. Lastly they will revise their first draft and will modify or edit it they need it (as cited in Badger & White, 2000, p. 154).

2.3. Methods applied in Writing Classes:

2.3.1. Grammar Translation Method

Freeman (2000) claimed that Grammar Translation Method focuses only teaching and learning the rules. From GTM perspective learning a language means learning all grammatical rules. In GTM accuracy is more important than fluency. Students need to learn all grammatical items correctly they do not need to be fluent in the use of grammar while talking. In GTM method deductive learning is a must, student need to learn rules and definitions first and later they learn examples. Through this method student can learn grammatical rules correctly and they do well in writing. He also claimed that this method is good for beginners and for large classes also, it required fewer resources. On the other hand, in this method the teacher is the authoritative figure to make decisions and the participation of learners is very less. Although the Grammar-Translation Method often creates frustration for students, it makes few demands on teachers. It is still used in situations where understanding literary texts is the primary focus of foreign language study and there is little need for a speaking knowledge of the language (Freeman, 2000, pp. 11-16).

2.3.2. The Audio-Lingual Method

Freeman (2000) claimed that there is dependence on imitation, memorization language and over learning. It drills students in the use of grammatical sentence pattern. This method talks about habit formation. The more students will repeat the more they will learn. They make errors due to the formation of bad habit; errors should be corrected immediately by the teacher. Positive reinforcement helps students to build right habits. Grammatical rules are taught by inductive learning rather than deductive learning (Freeman, 2000, pp. 11-16).

2.4. Writing Problems:

According to O'Donnell, some students are less careful about their performance and some students have learning disabilities and these issues hinder students' writing performance. It is very common to almost every class that students' have different spelling style; handwriting is not clear and sometimes their writings do not make any sense. The reason can be, some of the students are just not concerned and show less care towards their writings and some of the students might have any kind of learning disabilities (O'Donnell, 1980, p. 802).

There are two categories of writing mistakes; one is error characteristics and the other is non-error characteristics. The teachers can simply find out error characteristics from students' writing. There are eight major problems that students face in error characteristics and they are, spelling problems, pronoun usage, agreement between subject and predicate, the avoidance of run-on sentence, capitalization, punctuation, usage of slang or nonstandard terms and modification problems. But if there is no mistake in writing still that particular writing cannot be considered as a good piece of writing. There are some nonerror characteristics which make difference between skilled writing and non-skilled writing and they are density of ideas, variety of referents and variety of expression in repeated concepts (Marzano, 1982, pp. 408-9).

Writing is just not expressing thought it is also conveying the thoughts to the reader, therefore writings should be meaningful. Flower (1979) pointed out a question that is, if writing is considered as the act of what the writer thinks or what the writer means then why writing is such a hard thing to do. Or why writers fail to communicate with the readers. The author also mentioned that writers' mental struggle and readers' misinterpretation prove that writing is just

not expressing what writers think or means it is more than that. From the authors perspective writing is just not expressing the thoughts but also transferring the thought to the readers.

Some common problems in academic and professional writing is the absence of referent, immature and imprecise ideas, some senseless discussions and being unsuccessful to convert private thoughts into a public (Flower 1979, p. 19).

Students' writing performances often depend on teachers. If the teachers give the students more writing tasks and make them practice writing tasks several times then students can develop their writing skill. Evans (1959) said that in school it is very common that the quality of students' writings depend on teachers' expectation. If the students were given more writing task like assignments and reports on a particular topic or themes then the students would get more opportunity to write and practice. He also stated that students' writing will be more matured as long as they will practice writing on narrowed topics and work on outlines and organize materials (Evans, 1959, p. 14).

Brown (1982), and Flower and Hayes (1977, 1980, 1981) pointed out some factors which may create problem in writing and most of the writers are not aware of those factors. From their point of view, most of the writers can be experienced or inexperienced do not follow the instruction of traditional writing textbooks. Writers do not follow a linear plan involving distinct steps of prewriting, writing, and rewriting activity (as cited in Shah, 1986, p. 109).

Shah (1986) in his article mentioned about a survey done by The National Assessment of Educational Progress (1980) reported that the writing skills of 13-year olds began a turn down in 1974 and stayed mostly undeveloped by 1979. Moreover, the number of mechanical errors and the amount of sentence complexity remained about the same throughout the 1970s. NAEP (1980)

also reported that in the average classroom of 30 students, a half dozen or more are prone to have little confidence in their writing ability and negative attitudes toward the tasks.

Grammatical correctness is not compulsory to communicate with the readers through writing. Most of the foreign language teachers give importance on grammatical accuracy which is not a mandatory issue in ESL classes. Holley and King (1974-81) stated that even though grammatical correctness is not always compulsory of an ESL student to achieve communication, teachers of ESL have persisted for many years on grammatical correctness in student performance. Foreign language teachers have been trained to correct students' inappropriate response instantly when it is about grammatical and pronunciation errors, because it is being assumed that correcting errors instantly will help to learn. Teachers who emphasis more on grammatical accuracy they might not encourage students when they are communicating in foreign language (as cited in Tomiyana, 1980, p. 71).

Bloomer (1956) pointed that he was uncertain whether the authors of text books consider two variables of word length and complexity or not. He found in a study that authors not only considers these two variables but also consider variables of frequency of occurrence and meaningfulness. Petty (1955) also pointed that; there is no precise relationship between representation of individual sound and persistency of spelling difficulty. He ended up with the result that main importance should be on learning each word as an individual problem (as cited in Schmieder, 1958, p. 121).

Proper use of effective materials can solve many problems of the students. Tirumalesh (1991) revealed some reasons why people have limited knowledge about English writing. Lack of proficiency in the language, large classes, unqualified teachers, unmotivated novices, lack of

resources became the part of the etiology. From his point of view, the problem can be solved by mobilizing more materials or by an appropriate use of the existing resources.

His findings also showed that writing something in mother language is an automatic process. On the other hand, writing a in second language is a self- defeating process. One non-native person from different cultural and geographical area cannot become native English by writing in English. Though one may try to achieve perfect command on L2, there will always be a difference. A person always has a prior formation from his mother language therefore this formation cannot be changed by his second language. New thoughts and ideas always get mixed with the existing pattern. It is not possible to fully swap the old by the new. When someone believes that he can write in his second language as good as he does in his mother language, he makes the mistakes (Tirumalesh, 1991, pp. 2670-2671).

Rifkin (1992) claimed that grammar cannot be granted as the only factor or the most important factor for language teaching. He added that there should be a balance between grammar-oriented and communication-oriented activities in the successful foreign language classroom (as cited in Lagerberg, 1995, p. 116).

Teachers should give the attention to the each students based on the students' needs. Moreover, teachers should make the students clear about the subject matters; if they do so then it will help the students to find their own problems. Lagerberg (1995) emphasized on some issues like, in foreign language teaching classrooms teachers think that the metalanguage they use to teach students is understood by them which is a big mistake. Weaker students need more clarification and examples to get into the topic. Moreover, students need to be cleared about the topic which is taught to them by themselves first and then they can find out their own problems

by themselves. Another problem is teachers try to make the students accurate in terms of using grammatical rules (Lagerberg, 1995, pp. 114, 117).

2.5. Creative Writing:

Ur (1996) suggested that students should get the chance to increase their curiosity and being ambitious. Even if they make mistakes while free writing, teachers should encourage their learning. While giving feedback teachers should draw students' attention in what they have written, that can be both right and wrong. He also pointed out that teachers need to explain frankly to the students that mistake is nothing to be ashamed of rather it plays an important role in learning (Ur, 1996, p.169).

In creative writing all the ideas should be original and not the imitation of others' idea, in this point LaBrant (1967) claimed that until a child does not copy someone else's work whatever he writes is creative writing (as cited in Pikulsk, 1975, p, 183)

Paul McKee (1960) stated that if there is no uniqueness in ideas, if there is no original thought and if there is bad expression in a piece of writing then that writing will not be called as creative writing (as cited in Pikulsk, 1975, p, 183)

Hounsell (1987) categorized three core elements of essay-writing formations. First one is Data which is the theme or material of the essay; second one is Organization which is organizing the essay material in a structured way and the last one is Interpretation the connotation or sense which is given to the material by the students (as cited in Light, 2002, p. 258) .

Summary writing is a very structured writing where information needs to be organized and synchronized in a proper way in this point Irwin (1986) emphasized on some points about

summary writing. Summarization is defined as the procedure of incorporating and arranging sole idea into a summary or structured sequence of related broad ideas (as cited in Chen and Su, 2011, p. 184).

2.6. Feedback on Writing Task:

Ur (1996) suggested that grammatical errors and spelling errors draw the attention most and these mistakes cannot be ignored so that correction of these errors needs to be corrected first. Students demand their language problems to be corrected. In addition, it takes less time and hard work to find language mistakes than correcting the content and data organization. Moreover, rewriting is very important because it reinforces learning and it is an integral part of whole writing process as well. As teachers want students to rewrite like the same way students also want the teachers to reread and evaluate their work, it motivates the students to rewrite (Ur, 1996, pp. 170-171). .

Motivational feedback like praising helps students to write and revise their activities in this point, Brown (1932); Symonds and Chase(1929) claimed that some feedback can be motivational like praising can work like a motivator in terms of writing and revision activities and this kind of feedback is called motivational meaning (as cited in Nelson and Schunn, 2009, p. 376).

On the other hand, positive and negative reinforcement can also help students to do their writing task according to Thorndike. Thorndike (1927) (As cited in Nelson and Schunn, 2009, p. 376) another type of feedback on writing task can be reinforcement meaning where reward and punishment will be available for some particular writing task like spelling error or particular approach of to conclude a paragraph.

Third type of feedback is given by Pressey (1926, 1927), feedback can be combination of information given by the teachers to the students just to show the right direction for any kind of writing activities (as cited in Nelson and Schunn, 2009, p. 376).

Chapter Three

Research Methodology

3.1. Background Information:

The primary focus of the researcher is to identify various types of writing problems experienced by students and also to understand the reason behind such problems.

3.2. Institution and Settings:

The research is solely based on a school in Dhaka. The researcher being a former student of the institute found it convenient to manage the permission from the school authorities. The data to be examined in this paper were obtained by the researcher from.

The number of students was large in almost every class. Most classes had a typical classroom setting with seating arrangements for students facing a black board, table and chair where a teacher usually took his/her position. The teachers did ample movement during the lectures explaining various topics and also providing individual attention to students and their queries. The researcher was seated strategically in order to clearly hear the teachers and at the same time effectively observe the students. There was a sound learning atmosphere as the environment of the classrooms was very good with sufficient air, light and space.

3.3. Participants:

The participants of this research were the students of the class six and seven and the teachers. There were 73 to 80 students in almost every class. The researcher observed 3 classes and took interview of 20 students. Two classes were observed of standard seven and one class was observed of standard six. The ages of the students were within 13 to 14 years. Most of the

participants were quite helpful and were matured enough to understand the purpose of the researcher. The students' curiosity in the research led to their overexcitement regarding the task and interview. As a result the teachers at times found it difficult to maintain order among the students. Nevertheless, both the teachers and the students were polite and very cooperative with the researcher. Like several other Bengali medium schools in Dhaka, the medium of instructions in this school was Bengali. A verbal feedback given was also predominantly in Bengali.

3.4. Research Tools:

The researcher used three tools in this research; class observation, students' interview and assessment of students' writing. These three tools were essential in finding out various writing problems different students experienced. Observing various classes, conducting numerous interviews and assessing scripts have all resulted in the authentic collection of information and a successful undertaking of the research. Moreover teachers' speech was also recorded in a mobile phone.

3.4.1. Class Observation:

Being present in the classes and observing those helped the researcher to get the information she needed to explore the writing problems of the students. The researcher needed to establish a connection between what students got from the teachers as input and what they wrote on script as output. Hence, observing the writing classes was a mandatory way of collecting data. Moreover, by observing the classes the researcher could witness the actual faults of teaching that later lead students to face the problems.

3.4.2. Students' Interviews:

The researcher conducted interviews (Appendix) among 20 students in three classes. Identifying the problems they faced while writing was the main part of this research paper. Direct information from the students helped the researcher to know more about writing problems. Interviewees explained various kind of writing problems they face to the researcher.

3.4.3. Assessment of Students' Writing:

As it was already mentioned that the researcher wanted to establish a connection between students' input and output so it was mandatory for the researcher to assess some of the scripts of the students. Moreover, script checking also gave a clear view about the writing errors that students made. The researcher checked 20 scripts of those students who were the interviewees.

3.5. Nature of Research:

In this research the researcher applied two types of research methodology. So the nature of research was mixed.

3.5.1. Qualitative Research:

The researcher's observation included detailed explanation of what the various classes were all about. Based on the output received the researcher had to draw a connection between what was being taught in class and the students learned, understood and could inculcate. Moreover there were interviews of students where most of the questions were open ended and students had to describe their opinions to the researcher. Moreover, the researcher has checked some of the scripts of the students and stated their problems of writing by explaining some facts.

Hence, the detailed opinions and in-depth explanation of the research gives it a qualitative nature.

3.5.2. Quantitative Research:

While taking interview there were very few questions where students had to give answers by saying either they agreed or disagreed. These kinds of information were numerical and go to under the quantitative research. The researcher showed that numerical data in a chart.

3.6. Obstacles:

There were few obstacles that the researcher encountered while conducting this research and collecting data. The researcher was a student therefore she had regular classes alongside. Moreover, while observing classes there were some interruption from other classes. Students from other classes came to the teachers for their purposes and the teachers had to solve their problems. Furthermore, during class observation some students did not cooperate. While taking interview some of the interviewees were imitating the answers of others. So the researchers told them and made them understand repeatedly not to imitate others and to give their own answers.

Chapter Four

Research Findings

The researcher used three different formats for collecting data such as class observation, students' interview and scripts assessment to collect the data. Through these three formats she also tried to find the answers of the research questions.

4.1. Class -1:

The researcher chose class seven as the first class to observe. The number of the students was 73 and all the students were girls. It was English 2nd paper class where writing grammar were taught. The duration of the class was 45 minutes.

4.1.1. Findings from Class observation:

In the first class the teacher introduced the topic which was paragraph writing. After explaining the rules the teacher used an example. He talked about a topic "A Teacher" he explained to the students that if a topic like "A teacher" is given to students then the students would write the main idea in the very first line. He also explained to them this way, such as the students should write their thesis statement "a teacher is a person who shares his knowledge with his students". Furthermore, the teacher said them if that sentence is your thesis statement then rest of the paragraph should have the supporting details. The teacher also mentioned to the students that the last line of their paragraph should be the restatement of the thesis statement. Again he made the students aware that they cannot copy the same line of the thesis statement from their topic sentence. The tone would be the same but the language will be different.

The teacher gave a topic to the students to write a paragraph and the topic was "A Chair".

There was 15 minutes allocated for the students to write a paragraph. The teacher gave them the instruction of writing the paragraph within eight to ten lines. While the students were writing the paragraph the teacher was observing the students and went to them when anyone was asking questions. None of the students asked anything regarding the structure of the paragraph and organizing the information. All of them were asking either the spelling or grammatical rules. The teacher became busy to solve their spelling and grammatical problems. After 15 minutes the teacher and researcher collected the scripts, few of them could complete writing the paragraph and few of them wanted some more time.

4.1.2. Findings from Students' Interview:

After class observation, through random selection the researcher chose ten students to have an interview and also collected their scripts. The researcher asked the question to those ten students and took the answers one by one.

4.1.2.1. Open ended question and answer:

Most effective productive skill to express thought:

While answering the question seven students said that writing skill was the most effective skill to express emotion and thought. From their point of view while writing on a topic they could take time to think. They did not need to write instant and that is the reason they can express their thoughts easily. On the other hand three students said through speaking they could express their thought more comfortably.

Grammatical problems vs. problems regarding information organization

Among ten students eight students said that they face more difficulties with grammatical items while writing on a topic. They became more conscious about their grammatical problems. Two students think that organizing data or creation connection between two kinds of information was the biggest challenge for them while writing.

Biggest problem students face in writing

Three kinds of problems were mentioned by the students. Five students said that they often did same grammatical mistakes repeatedly like forget to use “es/s” after third person singular number. Three students mentioned that they faced difficulties while they tried to create relevance within the information. Lastly two students think their marks got deducted due to spelling mistakes.

Problems in writing with own idea vs. problems in writing with memorized information

Among ten students eight students think they made fewer mistakes when they got chance to write about an incident by their own language. When they needed to memorize the information, particular vocabulary or grammatical items then they became more conscious and did mistakes. On the other hand, two students think they did better if they had to write on a topic which was memorized. Because then they could use limited grammatical items, information and vocabulary which was already memorized.

Translation from first language to second language is a problem

Except the problem with vocabulary items none of the students think translation was a big deal for them. All of them agreed to the point that if they had experienced an incident or they

had the information of a certain topic then they could translate their thought from Bengali to the target language.

4.1.2.2. Multiple choice questions, answers and percentage:

Table 1

Interview Findings (MCQ) of Class- 1 to Explore Students' Writing Problems

MCQ Questions	Yes/No	Number of	
		students	Percentage
MCQ-1: Writing is the best way of expressing thought	Yes	7	70
	No	3	30
	Total	10	100
MCQ-2: Students get blank and clueless while writing in English	Yes	6	60
	No	4	40
	Total	10	100
MCQ-3: Target language (English) is the major issue for creating problems while writing	Yes	8	80
	No	2	20
	Total	10	100
MCQ-4: Problems regarding spelling and punctuation are major problems	Yes	3	30
	No	7	70
	Total	10	100
MCQ-5: Only teachers can solve writing problems	Yes	2	20
	No	8	80
	Total	10	100

From the table it is clear that most of the students think writing is the best way of expressing thoughts but it is tough for them to write in English which is their second language. Moreover, most of the students do not face spelling and punctuation problems often. In addition, from students' point of view only teachers cannot solve their writing problems they also need to work on it.

4.1.3. Findings from Students' Script Assessment:

The researcher noticed that except few students, most of the students did not write the thesis statement. In many scripts there was not any specific thesis statement; most of the students wrote some basic information about the given topic "chair". As an example, one of the students started her paragraph by writing that "we use many furniture in our daily life". While writing a paragraph on a chair this cannot be the topic sentence of the paragraph. Students should write their topic sentence related with the topic.

Students who wrote their thesis statement did not give the supporting details according to the thesis statement in the body paragraph. As an example, the thesis statement was "a chair is very useful furniture in our life" but the student explained different kinds of chair in her body paragraph rather than explaining the usefulness of the chair.

The most common problem in writing was repetition of information. Students wrote one line several times in different language. As an example, "a chair is an useful furniture", "a chair is a necessary furniture", "a chair is very important furniture of our life". Same kind of information was written in several times and it shows they have less knowledge about chair or whatever they have on their mind cannot be translated in English.

Grammatical errors were common in every script. Using proper preposition seemed a tough job for the students. As an example, someone wrote, we use chair “into” our dinning space. It shows she had less knowledge about the use of “in” and “into”. Many of the students did not restate their thesis statement in different language in the conclusion. Moreover, using irrelevant words were found in several sentences like someone wrote,” a chair is seriously useful furniture”, she might have tried to mean, “a chair is a useful furniture indeed”.

The findings from three research instruments suggested that the points the teacher taught about the paragraph writing were not reflected in the students’ scripts. Moreover, the writing problems appeared through students’ interview was clearly found in their scripts as well.

4.2. Class -2:

The researcher chose class seven to observe for the second time. The numbers of the students were 80 and all of the students were girls. It was also English 2nd paper class which includes completing story writing.

4.2.1. Findings from Class observation:

In the second class the topic was completing story. This section of writing is considered as the creative writing section. The teachers want the students to write or complete the story with their own words and ideas rather memorizing from the book. From teacher’s lecture it was clear that the students already knew the instructions of writing completing story and it was just a revision for them.

There would be three parts of completing story, introduction; body paragraph and lastly conclusion. These three parts should have three separate paragraphs. The introduction would be

given by the teacher and according to the given introduction the students had to draw a picture of the story and would explain the main story in the body paragraph. Lastly the teacher mentioned that the conclusion would always carry a moral. The students also needed to give the appropriate title based on the story. Moreover, if the students wanted to quote any line or statement then that line should be within inverted comma.

After explaining the rules the teacher gave the students a title “The Hare and the Tortoise” to write a story. Usually the introduction of the story was given by the teachers but due to time limitation the teacher gave them the title. While writing the story students seemed confused about spelling and grammatical rules. They were very much conscious about these two things that some of them were keep asking some spelling and grammar items to the teachers and to their peers. Students had 15 minutes to complete writing the story. Meanwhile, the researcher asked the teacher the reason behind student not having any question or confusion regarding the title. Then the teacher said that students knew about the title before. There are only three titles of the story given in their syllabus and one of them will surely come to their exam.

4.2.2. Findings from Students' Interview:

There were also ten students in the interview of the second class. The researcher took the students out of the class. Then the interview was arranged in another class. There were also the same ten questions which were asked in the first class. There were five yes/ no questions and five open- ended questions.

4.2.2.1. Open ended question and answer:**Most effective productive skill to express thought:**

Writing was the best way to express the thoughts. While writing students got enough time to think about what they are going to write. They could focus on their grammatical confusion and could chose appropriate words to write their thoughts, this is how eight students thought. On the other hand, two students thought that through speaking they can express themselves more quickly and they do not need to be worried about spelling mistakes.

Grammatical problems vs. problems regarding information organization:

Among ten students six students thought they faced grammatical problems more in whole writing task. They faced grammatical problems like tense, using appropriate prepositions, using articles, subject- verb agreement and so on. However, four students faced problem regarding information organization. From their point of view, sometimes they went blank while organizing the information. They could not create coherence between the sentences and words.

Biggest problem students face in writing:

Ten students mentioned that their biggest problem was grammatical problems while writing. Along with grammatical problems spelling mistakes and lack of vocabularies were big deals for them as they said. Three of them also said that creating logical connection between the sentences was also big trouble for them.

Problems in writing with own idea vs. problems in writing with memorized information:

Three students out of ten students think they face more problems while writing something from their own ideas. It happened due to lack of confidence and lack of vocabulary. They

thought if they have produced a piece of writing by their own words often they failed to organize the whole thing and teacher cannot get what they try to express. On the other hand, seven students think if they have memorized a paragraph or essay then there was a chance to forget it due to their bad memory. They also said memorizing grammatical rules was not their cup of tea. So if they tried to do this they made mistakes.

Translation from first language to second language is a problem:

All of the students agreed that they face problems while translating their thoughts from Bengali to English. They again agreed with the point that, not being able of applying grammatical rules and lack of vocabularies were the reasons behind this. They said structure of Bengali sentence is completely different from English sentence that was why they often made mistakes. Moreover, often they could not find a suitable English word for a particular Bengali word due to lack of vocabulary.

4.2.2.2. Multiple choice questions, answers and percentage:**Table 2****Interview Findings (MCQ) of Class- 2 to Explore Students' Writing Problems**

MCQ Questions	Yes/No	Number of	
		students	Percentage
MCQ-1: Writing is the best way of expressing thought	Yes	7	70
	No	3	30
	Total	10	100
MCQ-2: Students get blank and clueless while writing in English	Yes	7	70
	No	3	30
	Total	10	100
MCQ-3: Target language (English) is the major issue for creating problems while writing	Yes	7	70
	No	3	30
	Total	10	100
MCQ-4: Problems regarding spelling and punctuation are major problems	Yes	8	80
	No	2	20
	Total	10	100
MCQ-5: Only teachers can solve writing problems	Yes	0	0
	No	10	100
	Total	10	100

The table shows that a good number of students find writing as the most effective way of stating thoughts. Furthermore, many students think that they face more problems while writing in English. For them spelling mistakes and punctuation errors hinder their writing performance. Moreover, none of these ten students think that only teachers can solve their writing problems rather they also need to try to solve their own writing problems.

4.1.3. Findings from Students' Script Assessment:

Apparently all the writings looked very structured, students tried their level best to follow all the rules they knew for writing completing story. However, none of the students tried to draw different picture of the story under the given title "The Hare and the Tortoise". The starting and the ending of the story were almost same in every script. In interview most of the students mentioned that their biggest problem is grammatical problems and it could be understood from their scripts. Apart from grammatical errors, wrong use of punctuations and absence of punctuation was another problem that the researcher found. Moreover, incomplete sentences, wrong choice of words and irrelevant sentences were the common problems in the scripts.

In the starting line among ten students, nine students used the word jungle and only one student use the word forest instead of using jungle. It was visible that students did not want to play with words and their learning of vocabulary was very limited. On the other hand, all the scripts showed that students strictly followed the instruction of the teacher. They wrote some starting lines or introduced the characters in the introduction part, elaborated the main story in the body paragraph and lastly ended up the story with a moral. One thing many of the students did not do is many of them did not make separate paragraphs for the three parts.

Most of the students started their story with the phrase “once upon a time”, and ended the story using the story title. It proves that they seldom used their own ideas while writing the story. Students used simple sentences to write the story and it shows that they have less knowledge about other kinds of sentences. Lastly silly grammatical mistakes like choosing inappropriate tense, degree, voice change and many more were reflected in their scripts.

The findings from three research instruments suggest that though the teacher wanted this particular task to be a task of creative writing but his way of teaching did not permit so. He had already given the students a sample of that story and wanted to follow that. Therefore, there was not any creativity in the story writing. As students hardly get any opportunity to write something from their own that is why many students mentioned in interview that they felt unconfident while writing something from their own words and ideas.

4.3. Class -3:

Third class was standard six, there were 75 students. The topic of third class was summary writing. It was English 1st paper class. The duration of class was 45 minutes.

4.3.1. Findings from Class observation:

In the third class the teacher started his lecture by stating that summary writing is taking the main theme from the passage and writing those ideas in few sentences. Then he pointed five rules of writing summary and later explained the rules one by one orally. The very first rule of summary writing was that the summary would be shorter than the real passage or paragraph. It would be one third of the original passage; students would write the summary within few lines. Secondly, the summary should be written by the theme of the original passage. Students first have to understand the subject or theme of the given passage and then based on that theme they

would write the summary. Thirdly, in summary writing there would not be any quotation or example. The teacher pointed that in summary students could not use any moral or any kind of quotation. Using own idea or using ideas from outside the text is strictly prohibited. Again he said that using any kind of example was also not allowed in summary writing. He made it clear to the students that they never could use “as an example” in summary writing. Fourthly, the students could not use any direct line from the given passage. Copying or imitating sentence from the passage was not allowed while writing the summary. He said students to take the main theme from the passage and write the main ideas of the passage in their own language. Lastly the teacher said that whatever they wrote in summary it should be conceptual and structural. In this point he explained that their writing should be structured, there should be logical connection between the sentences. He also wanted the students to be grammatically correct. Once explaining the rules of summary writing was over the teacher gave a task to the students. He selected one passage from the book and told them to summarize that passage.

4.3.2. Findings from Students' Interview:

Just like two other classes in this class there were ten interviewees. In this interview there were also the same ten questions which were asked in the first and second class. There were five yes/ no questions and five open- ended questions.

4.3.2.1. Open ended question and answer:

Most effective productive skill to express thought:

All of the interviewee thought that writing was the best way to express their thoughts. While writing they can take enough time and could organize their thoughts properly. Moreover, for them speaking in English was a big thing to do. Most of them were scared of speaking in

English because from their point of view they made more mistakes and felt nervous while talking in English.

Grammatical problems vs. problems regarding information organization:

Among ten students seven students thought they face grammatical problems more because there were too many rules to memorize. They thought even if they could translate their ideas from English to Bengali, their main obstacle was applying appropriate grammatical rules. On the other hand three students believed that they faced problems with organizing information. Often they could not organize sentences one after another.

Biggest problem students face in writing:

Students mentioned lots of problems while answering this question. Individual students mentioned individual problems, like grammatical problems, translation problems, spelling problems and organization problems.

Problems in writing with own idea vs. problems in writing with memorized information:

Six students thought they faced problems when they wrote with their own ideas. Because they needed to translate from English to Bengali by themselves, they needed to apply correct grammar by themselves moreover they needed to choose appropriate words by themselves. As they were not confident about their capability of producing a piece of writing by their own ideas therefore they prefer to memorize. Four students answered that they did better with their own ideas. Because if they memorized anything then they needed to write exactly what they have memorized and often they forgot information and grammatical rules. They thought they got more marks if they have memorized information.

Translation from first language to second language is a problem:

All the interviewees agreed to the point that they faced many problems while translating their thought from English to Bengali. As students were tend to memorize paragraphs, essays, summaries and completing stories therefore they did not need to translate their thoughts. This habit made them unable of translating their own ideas from Bengali to English. Moreover, lack of English vocabularies and different syntax style created problems in translation.

4.3.2.2. Multiple choice questions, answers and percentage:**Table 3****Interview Findings (MCQ) of Class- 3 to Explore Students' Writing Problems**

MCQ Questions	Yes/No	Number of	
		students	Percentage
MCQ-1: Writing is the best way of expressing thought	Yes	10	100
	No	0	0
	Total	10	100
MCQ-2: Students get blank and clueless while writing in English	Yes	10	100
	No	0	0
	Total	10	100
MCQ-3: Target language (English) is the major issue for creating problems while writing	Yes	1	10
	No	9	90
	Total	10	100
MCQ-4: Problems regarding spelling and punctuation are major problems	Yes	9	90
	No	1	10
	Total	10	100
MCQ-5: Only teachers can solve writing problems	Yes	1	10
	No	9	90
	Total	10	100

It is clear from the table that all the students prefer writing as a medium of conveying their thoughts. All the students believe that sometimes they get blank while writing in English but it is not tough to write in English. Most of the students have problems regarding spelling and punctuation and almost all of them think it is their job to find out their own writing problems and solve by themselves with the help of the teachers.

4.3.3. Findings from Students' Script Assessment:

The main passage of summary writing was about an ideal teacher who is friendly to her students. The story was about teacher students' relationship and also about the personal life of that teacher. However, most of the students were able to summarize the story according to the instructions. It proved that students did prefer writing which are related to their own life or which they have experienced. In other words it was easy for them to learn their lessons from authentic material. On the other hand, some of the students wrote a new story adding their own ideas rather than summarizing the story. As an example, one of them wrote the whole summary by describing her favorite teacher which had no connection with the original passage.

In the scripts it was clear the students had severe grammatical problems. They could not use prepositions properly, as an example one of the students wrote; the teacher was very popular with her students. This line showed she did not know the difference between "with" and "among". Moreover, many students did mistake in using "es/s" after third person singular number, chose wrong pro-noun while writing the summary.

Though the teacher explained the rules of summary writing in the very beginning many of the students did not followed the rules. In the last sentence many students gave their opinion about an ideal teacher, copied same lines from the original passage which were strictly

prohibited in summary writing. Many of the students did not summarize the whole story, repeated same lines and also used inappropriate words in inappropriate places which had happened due to lack of vocabulary .

The findings from three research instruments suggest that most of the students were capable of writing summary as summary writing is a very structured and controlled writing. They followed the instructions given by the teacher and could write a good summary. Moreover, six students feel that they can do better if they get opportunity to write something they have memorized and structured and this is the reason they did well in this task.

Chapter Five

Analysis

5.1. Class Observation:

From the analysis of class observations it appeared that the teachers followed GTM (Grammar Translation Method) while teaching the students paragraph and summary writing. The teacher taught the rules first and then presented examples to the students so it can be said that the teacher was using deductive learning method. The teacher was the authoritative figure in the class and there were very few interactions between the teacher and students. From the lecture of the teacher it was quite clear that he wanted the students to be able of writing a paragraph accurately. For teaching the students' accurate paragraph writing he emphasized more on grammar and literary language. While he went to check what they were writing, he corrected the use of articles and prepositions. Freeman (2000) claimed that Grammar Translation Method focuses only teaching and learning of rules. From GTM perspective learning a language means learning all grammatical rules. In GTM accuracy is more important than fluency. Students need to learn all grammatical items correctly they do not need to be fluent in use the use of grammar while talking. In GTM method deductive learning is a must, student need to learn rules and definitions first and later they learn examples. Through this method student can learn grammatical rules correctly and they do well in writing. Freeman (2000) also proposed that this method is good for beginners and for large class also, it required fewer resources. On the other hand, in this method teacher is the authoritative figure to make decisions and the participation of learners is very less. Although the Grammar-Translation Method often creates frustration for students, it makes few demands on teachers. It is still used in situations where understanding

literary texts is the primary focus of foreign language study and there is little need for a speaking knowledge of the language (Freeman, 2000, pp. 11-16). The teacher taught the students grammar first and then explained an example. From this way of teaching students might have a good command on grammatical rules but they would have less exposure in communicative skill.

The researcher observed that most of the students were very conscious about their grammatical mistakes. The students called the teacher to ask the grammatical structure, spelling and vocabulary. Someone was asking the teacher in Bengali whether it will be a chair has four legs or a chair has four stands. Someone asked whether it will be a chair is made "of" woods or made "from" woods. Moreover, many students were asking the spelling of furniture, plastic and so on. In this point Marzano (1982) mentioned that there are two categories of writing mistake one is error characteristics another one is non-error characteristics. Teachers can simply find out error characteristics from students' writing. There are eight major problems that students face in error characteristics and they are, spelling problems, pronoun usage, agreement between subject and predicate, the avoidance of run-on sentence, capitalization, punctuation, usage of slang or nonstandard terms and modification problems. But if there is no mistake in writing still that particular writing cannot be considered as a good piece of writing. There are some non-error characteristics which make difference between skilled writing and non-skilled writing and they are density of ideas, variety of referents and variety of expression in repeated concepts (Marzano, 1982, pp. 408-9). Focusing only on grammatical rules, correct spelling and appropriate use of vocabulary is not enough to produce a good piece of writing. Even if a student writes a piece of writing without any grammatically error but still cannot create any sense in his writing then it will not be considered as a skilled writing piece.

The teacher also wanted the summary to be very structural therefore in the beginning of the class he mentioned 5 rules of writing summary. He chose one of the passages from the text book and gave that to the students to exercise. So it can be said that he utilized the text and exercise in a constrained way. From the previous study it can be found that summary writing depends on organizing the information in a structural way, in this point Irwin (1986) emphasized on some points about summary writing. Summarization is defined as the procedure of incorporating and arranging sole idea into a summary or structured sequence of related broad ideas (as cited in Chen and Su, 2011, p. 184). The teacher explained five rules of summary writing like, summary will be shorter than the real passage or paragraph, the summary should be written by the theme of the original passage, in summary writing there will not be any quotation or example, students cannot use any direct line from the given passage and lastly whatever the students write in summary it should be conceptual and structural. Most of the students were capable of writing summary as summary writing is a very structured and controlled writing. On the other hand, some of the students added their personal experiences in summary writing which is strictly prohibited in summary writing.

In this task there were less interaction between the teacher and students. From the lecture of the teacher it was quite understandable that the main focus of summary writing was on grammatical rules and structure. The concentration was not on the purpose and the audience of the writing but from Widodo's point of view concentration should be on these two issues. Widodo (2006) stated that a genre based approach to teaching L2 writing focuses on the gatherings of an individual text type and try to help students to understand what is the purpose of writing text, who is their audience or for whom they are writing and how to organize a text (as cited in Chen and Su, 2011, p. 185). In this class the teacher did not mention to the students

about the purpose and the reader. If he would tell them then it would be easy for the students to write the summary according to the purpose and audience.

Techniques of Audio-Lingual Method were applied in one of the classes. Firstly the teacher started his lecture with a set of rules which should be followed by the students. Then he gave a title of a story and told the students to write a story based on that title. However, the teacher provided three fixed stories in the syllabus and wanted the students to write same like the given stories which were considered as imitating the story. The teacher wanted them to write the story in a certain manner, like starting the story with “once upon a time” or using the moral of the story in the very last sentence of the story. In this situation the role of the students was imitator and the role of the teacher was an orchestra leader providing a good model for imitation. From the previous study, techniques of Audio-Lingual Method can be found which matched the techniques of the teaching style of that class. Freeman (2000) claimed that there is dependence on imitation, memorization language and over learning. It drills students in the use of grammatical sentence pattern. This method talks about habit formation. The more students will repeat the more they will learn. They make errors due to the formation of bad habit; errors should be corrected immediately by the teacher. Positive reinforcement helps students to build right habits. Grammatical rules are taught by inductive learning rather than deductive learning (Freeman, 2000, pp. 11-16). The teacher previously gave samples of completing story and he picked up one from them. Though it was a creative writing task but students already knew the story and read it several times. Therefore it was easy for them to write the same story that they have already memorized. Students get less opportunity to write something from their own ideas.

So it can be said that the teacher wanted the students to build a habit of using some fixed phrases or structures by repeating them in every stories they write. A student's writing habit or

writing pattern is very much dependent on teacher's expectation; Evans (1959) in his study explored students' dependence on their teachers. Evans (1959) reported that in school it is very common that the quality of students' writings depend on teachers' expectation. If the students were given more writing task like assignments and reports on a particular topic or themes then the students would get more opportunity to write and practice. He also said that students' writing will be more matured as long as they will practice writing on narrowed topics and work on outlines and organize materials (Evans, 1959, p. 14). If the teacher trains the students to build a good habit then the students will grow up with that habit. Teachers should encourage the students to complete the stories in their own way.

Writing completing story is one of the ways of producing creative writing. However, in Bengali medium schools this is also controlled by the teachers and becomes controlled writing. The students should have the freedom of taking the picture of the story to anywhere they want. They are allowed to use their own ideas and imagination while writing the story. They have their own individuality of finding different morals from different stories. To give a clear understanding about creative writing, the researcher incorporated a previous study on creative writing. Paul McKee (1960) said that if there is no uniqueness in ideas, if there is no original thought and if there is bad expression in a piece of writing then that writing will not be called as creative writing (as cited in Pikulsk, 1975, p, 183). From the very beginning students are learning wrong way of writing completing story. They were bound to imitate the given stories and could not show their own creativity. They were just memorizing the words and the structure of the story and writing the same thing on their exam. Who can imitate more appropriately will get the better marks. This is the reason students from Bengali medium schools are scared of writing something in English on an unknown topic. Because, they even do not know how to construct

own ideas on mind in English, they are not able to write anything they have not memorized due to the faulty system of the education.

5.2. Interview:

From the result of interview it was quite clear that most of the students believed that they could express their thoughts more efficiently through writing. From their point of view they could take time and could state their emotions and thoughts properly. From their perspective they could not express their thoughts through speaking fluently, as they had to deliver their thoughts instantly and make mistakes. They also mentioned that through writing they could have good command over English language. The researcher demonstrated a previous study in this point. Manchón (2011) believed that writing is used widely in language practice for learning foreign language. For this reason scholars made a difference between writing to learn content and writing to learn language. Moreover, it is clear that all types of writing in foreign language education contribute to the progress of language skills. Both learning-to-write and writing-to-learn tasks help to develop the learners' foreign language skill (as cited in Lund, 2015, p. 2). Writing tasks are mandatory in foreign language teaching classes as writing tasks enhance students' language learning ability.

While writing students face grammatical problems more than data organization problems. Students were more conscious about grammatical mistakes because they usually get feedback on grammatical mistakes from the teachers. Moreover, for most of the students memorizing grammatical rules is the major problem in writing task. From their point of view their writing tasks are marked based on usage of grammar. Nevertheless according to Rifkin (1992) grammar cannot be granted as the only factor or the most important factor for language teaching. He added

that there should be a balance between grammar-oriented and communication-oriented activities in the successful foreign language classroom. The teachers should not only focus on grammar rather they should focus on both grammatical rules and content. Moreover, they should find out whether the students are able to communicate with the reader through their writings or not. That means, whether they are able to make sense in their writings or not.

Some of the students also think that they face problems regarding information organization along with grammatical problems. Often they cannot organize sentences one after another. They know what to write but sometimes they do not know how to write. In this case an earlier study can be stated. Flower (1989) pointed that some common problems in academic and professional writing is the absence of referent, immature and imprecise ideas, some senseless discussions and being unsuccessful to convert private thoughts into a public. In schools teachers focus more on grammatical errors and spelling mistakes and often students do not get feedback on their content. Therefore, problems like using irrelevant information or unorganized information never get solved. Moreover, it hinders students' writing capability.

All of the students had the same opinion that while translating their ideas from L1 to L2 they faced problems due to different sentence structures. Previous studies of Tirumalesh's (1991) findings also show that writing something in mother language is an automatic process. On the other hand, writing in second language is a self- defeating process. One non- native person from different cultural and geographical area cannot become native English by writing in English. Though one may try to achieve total identification in L2, there will always be a difference. A person always has a prior formation from his mother language therefore this formation cannot be changed by his second language. New thoughts and ideas always get mixed with the existing pattern. It is not possible to fully swap the old by the new. When someone believes that he can

write in his second language as good as he does in his mother language, he makes the mistakes (Tirumalesh, 1991, pp. 2670-2671). There are two different structures in Bengali language and English language; therefore often students make mistakes in sentence level. Like in English “Verb” comes after Subject but in Bengali “Object” comes after Subject.

5.3. Script Assessment:

While checking the scripts the researcher noticed that the students' writing was not organized. Repetition of information, absence of thesis statement, using irrelevant information, lack of creative writing and producing controlled writing and lastly many silly grammatical mistakes were seen in their scripts. From the previous study of Hounsell (1987) it can be stated that categorized three core elements of essay-writing formations. First one is Data which is the theme or material of the essay; second one is Organization which is organizing the essay material in a structured way and the last one is Interpretation the connotation or sense which is given to the material by the students (as cited in Light, 2002, p. 258). . In most of the scripts there was not any specific thesis statement and if there was thesis statement in some of the scripts then there were not any supporting details. Students wrote some random information about the topic and constructed their paragraph. Irrelevant information and repetition of information was very common in every script. As the teacher gave less importance on those issues therefore the students were also less concerned.

In the scripts spelling mistakes, wrong use of word and imitated writings were found. Some of the students had bad hand writing, some of the students gave irrelevant information and incomplete sentences that could not make any sense in paragraph writing. In this point O'Donnell (1980) said it is very common to almost every class that students' have different

spelling style; handwriting is not clear and sometimes their writings do not make any sense. The reason can be, some of the students are just not concerned and show less care towards their writings and some of the students might have any kind of learning disabilities (O'Donnell, 1980, p. 802). Possibly there will be two reasons behind students' writing problems. One reason is they do not give their concentration on what they are writing and another reason is they are disabled or incapable of producing a good piece of writing.

Completing story writing is considered as a creative writing task. However, the researcher saw completely different scenario in the completing story writing class; the students did not use their own ideas or creativity. Rather they wrote the same story using the same language that they read in their text book. A previous study of LaBrant (1967) also shows that imitating someone's work will not be considered as a creative work. LaBrant (1967) claimed that until a child does not copy someone else's work whatever he writes is creative writing (as cited in Pikulsk, 1975, p, 183). The teacher provided samples to the students for imitating the writing style. Through this task the teacher will found a habit of writing completing story but these kinds of practices never give chance to learn anything.

The researcher asked the teachers of each class to check two scripts to observe their way of giving feedback. Teachers were focusing more on grammatical rules rather than the content. They were finding grammatical mistakes, without giving the concern to the fact that students wrote irrelevant information and there was not any synchronization of information in the scripts. However, with the disagreement Holley and King (1974-81) stated that even though grammatical correctness is not always compulsory of an ESL student to achieve communication, the teachers of ESL persisted for many years on grammatical correctness in student performance. Foreign language teachers have been trained to correct students' inappropriate response instantly when it

is about grammatical and pronunciation errors, because it is being assumed that correcting errors instantly will help to learn. Teachers who emphasis more on grammatical accuracy they might not encourage students when they are communicating in foreign language (as cited in Tomiyana, 1980, p. 71). Giving feedback only on the use of grammatical rules is not enough to improve students' writing performance. Teachers should provide the feedback on the content as well. If the teachers can provide feedback on these two features then the students will be able to find their writing problems by themselves.

One of the reasons behind students' persistent writing problems is the feedback. The feedback strategies employed by the teachers are not precise and motivational. They only deduct marks and point out the reason of deducting marks. They do not comment on the quality of the writing and moreover do not correct the answer with the solution. Therefore, students become unaware of their problem. In this point Brown (1932); Symonds and Chase(1929) said that some feedback can be motivational like praising can work like a motivator in terms of writing and revision activities and this kind of feedback is called motivational meaning (as cited in Nelson and Schunn, 2009, p. 376). Feedback on writing task should be specific and motivational. The teachers should provide the feedback such way that helps students to identify their problems and encourages them to solve it by themselves.

Chapter Six

Conclusion

After analyzing all the findings the study can be concluded by stating that the secondary level students of Bengali medium school prefer writing task more than speaking task, since they feel more comfortable to express their thoughts and ideas through writing tasks. Moreover, memorizing grammatical rules is a challenge for them because there are differences between English grammar and Bengali grammar. As their writing performance is valued based on the correct use of grammatical rules, they focus more on this aspect. They believe that if they can produce a writing piece without any grammatical error then they are good in writing. On the other hand, some of the students thought that they are bad in terms of organizing the information; some students thought they are not good in creative writing because they are much used to in controlled writing and some of the students thought they face problems while doing translation due to the differences in sentence structures between the two languages.

The research would have had more impact if the researcher could get the opportunity to visit more Bengali medium schools. There was limitation of time to visit more than one school; therefore the researcher had to choose one school and observed three different classes. If the researcher could get the opportunity to observe different schools then the finding would have been more authentic and there would have more variation of data.

For future investigations, the researcher suggests to conduct a more detailed analysis of the topic, as it is an important area of second language learning. Interviews of both teachers and students can be added to make the research more authentic.

To conclude the paper, it can be stated that students of Bengali medium schools have different writing problems such as grammatical errors, unorganized and irrelevant information, spelling mistakes, inappropriate use of vocabularies and etc. Their problems can be solved if they get the proper guideline and feedback from the teachers.

References

- Badger, R., & White, B. (2000). A process genre approach to teaching writing. *ELT Journal*, 54(2), 153-160. Retrieved from <http://eltj.oxfordjournals.org.proxy1.athensams.net/content/54/2/153.abstract?sid=b84f25e0-f274-4dc7-ac7f-31bf45262d9e>
- Chen, Y.-S., & Su, S.-W. (2012). A genre-based approach to teaching EFL summary writing. *ELT Journal*, 66(2), 184-192. doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccr061
- Coe, R. M., & Gutiérrez, K. (1981). Using problem-solving procedures and process analysis to help student with writing problems. *College Composition and Communication*, 32(3), 262–271. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/356189>
- Evans, B. (1959). Writing and Composing. *The English Journal*, 48(1), 12-20. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/809168>
- Flower, L. S. (1979). Writer-based prose: a cognitive basis for problems in writing. *College English*, 41(1), 19-37. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/376357>
- Lagerberg, R. (1995). Teaching Cases To Students Without a Grammatical Background. *New Zealand Slavonic Journal*, 111-120. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23806842>
- Larsen-Freeman, D. (2000). *Techniques and principles in language teaching* (2nd ed.). Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.

- Light, G. (2002). From the personal to the public: conceptions of creative writing in higher education. *Higher Education*, 43(2), 257-276. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3447545>
- Lund, R. E. (2015). Handwriting as a tool for learning in ELT. *ELT Journal*, doi:10.1093/elt/ccv048
- Marzano, R. J. (1982). Identifying various types of student writing problems. *Journal of Reading*, 25(5), 408-411. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40032421>
- Nelson, M. M., & Schunn, C. D. (2008). The nature of feedback: How different types of peer feedback affect writing performance. *Instructional Science*, 37(4), 1573–1952. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23372520>
- Pikulski, J. J. (1975). Initiating Creative Writing Activities. *Elementary English*, 52(2), 183-186. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41592549>
- Tirumalesh, K. V. (1991). Writing-English versus Writing-in-English: New Notes on an Old Theme. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 26(47), 2670-2672. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4398330>
- Tomiyama, M. (1980). Grammatical errors and communication breakdown. *TESOL Quarterly*, 14 (2), 71-79. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3586810>
- Shah, D. C. (1986). Composing processes and writing instruction at the middle/junior high school level. *Theory into Practice*, 25(2), 109-116. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1476529>

Schmieder, F. J. (1958). English Composition: Writing- Spelling. *Review of Educational Research*, 28(2), 117-126. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1168866>

Appendix

Part- 1

Open-ended questions:

1. Through which productive skill do you feel most comfortable to express your thoughts?
2. Which one you face more- writing something in English, grammatical problems or problems regarding information organization?
3. Which is the biggest problem for you while writing something in English?
4. When do you face more problems- while writing by your own idea or writing something you memorized?
5. While translating a piece of writing from your first language to second language- do you face any problem?

Part-2Multiple-choice questions:

1. Do you think writing is the best way of expressing thoughts?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
2. Do you feel blank/clueless while writing something in English?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
3. Do you think target language (English) is the major issue for creating problems while writing?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
4. Do you consider problems regarding spelling and punctuation as a major problem?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No
5. Do your teachers help you to find out your writing problems?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No